



WEB COPY



CrI.OP.No.408 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 09.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CrI.O.P.No.408 of 2026

Mugilan

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State represented by
The Station House Officer,
Villianur Police Station,
Puducherry.
(Cr.No.482 of 2025)

.. Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to enlarge the petitioner on bail in the event of arrest by the respondent police in Cr.No.482 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Kannadasan

For Respondent : Mr.M.V.Ramachandra Murthy,
Public Prosecutor(Puducherry)

ORDER

The petitioner apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 318(2), 64 and 351(3) of BNS, 2023 in No.482 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police. seeks anticipatory bail.

1/5



2. The case of the prosecution is that the petitioner had promised to marry the victim girl aged about 22 years and had sexual relationship, subsequently, refused to marry her. Hence, the complaint has been lodged against the petitioner.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent and he has been falsely implicated in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner has no physical relationship with the defacto complainant as alleged by the prosecution and he is ready and co-operate with the investigation and prays to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Criminal Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that investigation in this case is pending. Hence, she opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of allegations and also the fact that the victim is aged about 22 years, it is a case of promise to marry and sexual intercourse, I am of the view that the custodial interrogation of the petitioner in this case for the purpose of investigation is not necessary. Hence, I am

2/5



inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

WEB COPY

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned **Judicial Magistrate VI, Puducherry** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only)** with two **sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) *The petitioner shall cooperate fully with the*



investigation, including submitting himself to any medical examination as and when required by the Investigating Officer;

(e) The petitioner shall not tamper with evidence or witness either during investigation or trial;

(f) The petitioner shall not abscond either during investigation or trial:

(g) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioners in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioners released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560]*;

(h) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

09.01.2026

Vv

To

1. The **Judicial Magistrate VI, Puducherry**
2. The Station House Officer,
Villianur Police Station,
Puducherry.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras,
Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.408 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.408 of 2026

09.01.2026