



WEB COPY

WP No. 879 of 2



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-01-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE P.T. ASHA

**WP No. 879 of 2026
and WMP.No.1074 of 2026**

Elankani.R
W/o.Late Rajamani,
D.No.2/1091/78,
Desingh Nagar, Mookandapalli- 635 126
Hosur Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The Assistant Executive Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution
Corporation Ltd.,
SIPCOT -635 126, Hosur Taluk
Krishnagiri District.
2. The Assistant Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution
Corporation Ltd.,
SIDCO- 635 126, Hosur Taluk
Krishnagiri District.
3. Thrisanku
S/o.Sundaresan,
4/21-A, Chinnatti Village, Jankeri- 635 113,
Tenkanikotta Taluk, Krishnagiri District.

..Respondent(s)



PRAYER : Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India praying to issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order made in Lr. AE/O&M/SIDCO/File. Mi.Thu/A. No.061/ 2025 dated Nil -12- 2025, passed by the 2nd respondent, quash the same and consequently forbear the respondents from interfering with the petitioner right to use the EB Service Connection No.067-002-795 in compliance with the direction given in the final order dated 23.07.2025 made in W.P. No.21172 of 2017 passed by this Honble court.

For Petitioner(s):	Mr.S.Shrish
For Respondent(s):	Mr.S.Madhusudhanan for R1 & R2

ORDER

The present writ petition has been filed for the following relief :

“ To issue a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus calling for the records relating to the impugned order made in Lr. AE/O&M/SIDCO/File. Mi.Thu/A. No.061/ 2025 dated Nil -12- 2025, passed by the 2nd respondent, quash the same and consequently forbear the respondents from interfering with the petitioner right to use the EB Service Connection No.067-002-795 in compliance with the direction given in the final order dated 23.07.2025 made in W.P. No.21172 of 2017”

2. The case of the petitioner is that a property measuring an extent of 1.10 acres in SF.Nos.4/2, 4/2B and 4/2C of Motham Agraharam Village is a no man's



land. The petitioner herein along with 150 families have occupied the entire subject property in the year 1990, and had put up construction. The subject property was assessed to property tax etc., The petitioner had been living in the subject property which was constructed by her brother J.Ezhumalai and the electricity service connection for the property stands in the name of her brother. The petitioner would submit that his brother had left the family 15 years ago and ever since the petitioner and her family members are in occupation of the subject property.

3. The petitioner would submit that the third respondent herein claiming himself to be the owner of the property in SF.No.4/2A, had requested the second respondent to disconnect the electricity service connection given in the subject property. His request was turned down by the second respondent vide his letter dated 02.03.2017. Aggrieved by the same, the third respondent herein had approached this Court in W.P.No.21172 of 2017, to disconnect the EB service connection granted to the occupants of the subject property, describing them as trespassers. This Court by its order dated 23.07.2025, had held that if the third respondent claims that the occupants therein are the trespassers of the property, and for evicting them, the appropriate remedy open to him was to approach the civil Court and thereupon, the petitioner can approach the TANGEDCO for disconnecting the service connection.



WEB COPY



4. The petitioner's contention is that the third respondent with an oblique motive to evict the petitioner and other occupants from the subject property, had approached the second respondent herein. The second respondent without even carefully reading the orders passed by this Court in W.P.No.21172 of 2017, had passed the impugned order, as if this Court had directed them to disconnect the electricity service connection in No.067-002-795. Hence, the petitioner is before this Court to quash the impugned order passed by the second respondent.

5. Heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the Mr.S.Madhusudanan, learned Standing Counsel appearing of behalf of respondents 1 and 2 and this Court also perused the materials placed before it.

6. Mr.S.Madhusudanan, learned Standing Counsel appearing for the respondents 1 and 2, on instructions would submit that the respondents-TANGEDCO is withdrawing the impugned notification issued by them, with regard to disconnecting the electricity survey connection of the petitioner's subject property.



WEB COPY

WP No. 879 of 2



7. Recording the same, the writ petition is disposed of. The respondents / TANGEDCO are directed to withdraw the impugned notice within a period of one week from today. The respondents - TANGEDCO shall not await for the order copy of the Court, as the orders are pronounced today in the presence of the Standing Counsel for the TANGEDCO. No costs. Consequently, connected miscellaneous petition is closed.

12-01-2026

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

DS

To:

1. The Assistant Executive Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution
Corporation Ltd.,
SIPCOT -635 126, Hosur Taluk
Krishnagiri District.

2. The Assistant Engineer
(Operation and Maintenance)
Tamil Nadu Generation and Distribution Corporation Ltd.,
SIDCO- 635 126, Hosur Taluk
Krishnagiri District.



WEB COPY

WP No. 879 of 2



P.T.ASHA, J.

DS

WP No. 879 of 2026

12-01-2026