



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 524



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 12-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 524 of 2026

Priyanka @ Priya

Petitioner(s)

Vs.

The State Rep. by,
The Inspector of Police,
Washermenpet Police Station,
Chennai District.
Cr.No.695 of 2025.

Respondent(s)

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of BNSS, to enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in the above Cr.No.695 of 2025 on the file of the Respondent.

For Petitioner(s): Ms.Raja Priya R

For Respondent(s): Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Section 115(2), 118(1) & 305 of BNS Act, in connection with Crime No.695 of 2025, registered on the file of the respondent, seeks anticipatory bail.



WEB COPY

2. The petitioner herein is ranked as A2 in this case. The allegation against the petitioner is that on the date of alleged occurrence, the petitioner's husband, who is ranked as A1 in this case, on suspecting the illegal relationship of the petitioner with the de facto complainant, assaulted him using sharp objects and robbed 2 sovereign of gold jewels and mobile phone and threatened him with dire consequences. Hence the case.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is an innocent person and she has been falsely implicated in this case. Since the petitioner is the wife of Accused No.1, she is ready to abide by any condition that may be imposed by this Court. Hence, she prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate appearing for the respondent reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that A1 in this case has already been granted anticipatory bail. The petitioner herein also attacked the de facto complainant and that the injured has been discharged from the hospital. However, she opposed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side, and taking into account the fact that the co-



accused has already been granted anticipatory bail, and that the petitioner being a lady, and the injured has been discharged from the hospital, this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner with certain conditions:

6. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on her appearance, within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, before the learned **Metropolitan Magistrate Court No.XXI, Egmore, Chennai** on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.15,000/- (Rupees Fifteen Thousand Only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction of the learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

[a] if the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

[b] the sureties shall affix their photographs and Left Thumb Impression in the Application for Surety ship [Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019']. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of the identity proofs to ensure their identity;

[c] the petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30.a.m., for a period of one week and thereafter as and when required for interrogation;



WEB COPY

[d] On breach of any of the aforementioned conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to pass appropriate orders against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the aforementioned conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **P.K.Shaji vs. State of Kerala [(2005)13 SCC 283]**;

[e] If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can be registered under Section 269 of B.N.S.

12-01-2026

Jd

Index:Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet:Yes

Neutral Citation:Yes/No

To

1. The Learned Metropolitan Magistrate Court No.XXI,
Egmore, Chennai.

2. The Inspector of Police,
Washermenpet Police Station,
Chennai District.

Cr.No.695 of 2025.

3. The Public Prosecutor, High Court of Madras, Chennai.



WEB COPY



CRL OP No. 524 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR J.
jd

CRL OP No. 524 of 2026

12-01-2026