



WEB COPY



CrI.O.P.No.2618 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: **05.02.2026**

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K. RAJASEKAR

CRL OP NO.2618 of 2026

1. A. Dhanusu
2. S. Ganesan

... Petitioners/ Accused

Vs

The State Rep. By,
The Inspector of Police,
Kiliyanur Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.369 of 2025)

... Respondent

PRAYER: Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, pleased to enlarge the petitioners on anticipatory bail in the event of arrest by the respondent in Crime No.369 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioners : Mr. V. Dhatchayani

For Respondent : M/s. J.R. Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)



WEB COPY

Crl.O.P.No.2618 of 2025



ORDER

The petitioners, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the offences punishable under Sections 303(2) of BNS and 21(1) of Mines and Minerals (Development and Regulation) Act, 1957 in Crime No.369 of 2025 on the file of the respondent Police, seek anticipatory bail. This is the second anticipatory bail application of the petitioners and the earlier anticipatory bail petition was dismissed by this Court, vide order dated 12.12.2025 in Crl.O.P.No.34102 of 2025 for the following reasons:

“6. Considering the fact that huge quantity of the rough stone has been transported by the petitioner after illegally quarrying the same and this has resulted in causing damage to ecology, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.”

2. The case of the prosecution is that on 03.12.2025, the defacto complainant, who is the Assistant Geologist, Geology and Mines Department, Villupuram along with his assistant were on their inspection duty, they intercepted a tipper lorry bearing Registration No.TN-32-BD-4611 and found that 20 metric tonnes of rough stones were illegally transported without any valid permit or license; that the second petitioner



Crl.O.P.No.2618 of 2025

herein, who is the driver of the tipper lorry ran away from the place of occurrence. Hence, a complaint was lodged before the respondent police, a case was registered and upon investigation, the petitioners herein were arrayed as accused.

3. Heard the learned counsel appearing for the petitioners and the learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent.

4. Considering the submissions made, facts and circumstances of this case, nature of allegation and taking note of the fact that there is no change in circumstances after dismissal of the earlier anticipatory bail application of the petitioners in Crl.O.P.No.34102 of 2025, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

5. Accordingly, this criminal original petition stands dismissed.

05.02.2026

stn



Crl.O.P.No.2618 of 2026

K. RAJASEKAR, J.

stn

WEB COPY

To

1. The Inspector of Police,
Kiliyanur Police Station,
Villupuram District.
(Crime No.369 of 2025)
2. The Public Prosecutor,
High Court of Madras.

Crl.O.P. No.2618 of 2026

05.02.2026