



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 09-06-2026

CORAM

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE M.DHANDAPANI

WP No. 1256 of 2023

WEB COPY

J.Vijay Kumar
S/o.Jayaraman, NO.1041,2nd Street, Periyar
Nagar, Lakshminarayanapuram,
Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore-607106.

..Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. The District Collector
Office of District Collector,
Cuddalore District.
2. The Block Development Officer
(Village Panchayat) Lakshmi Narayanapuram
Block Development Office, Panruti.
3. K.R.Rajendran
S/o.Ramaiyan Chettiar, No.1048,1st Street,
Periyar Nagar, Lakshmi Narayanapuram,
Panrutii Taluk- 607 106.

..Respondent(s)

Petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, praying for issuance of a Writ of Certiorarified Mandamus, calling for the records relating to the impugned order, Na.Ka. No.AA3/ 2632 / 2022 dated 15.11.2022, issued by the second respondent and quash the same as illegal and consequently to direct the second respondent to provide road, drinking water tank and water pipeline connection, street lighting, electricity, drainage and sewage in accordance with law.



WEB COPY

WP No. 1256 of 2



For Petitioner(s):
For Respondent(s):


Mr.M.Sunil Kumar
Mr.P.Siddarth, Govt. Counsel for R1 and R2
Mr.D.Baskar for R3

ORDER

The impugned order passed by the second respondent in Na.Ka. No.AA3/2632 / 2022 dated 15.11.2022, is put under challenge in the present Writ Petition. Further, the petitioner has sought for a direction to the second respondent to provide road, drinking water tank and water pipeline connection, street lighting, electricity, drainage and sewage in accordance with law.

2. Heard the learned counsels appearing on either side.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is the owner of the property situated in Periyar Nagar, Lakshmi Narayanapuram, Panruti Taluk, Cuddalore. He further submitted that the petitioner made several representations to the local authorities for providing basic amenities to the said area and in relate to the said issue, he has also sent a letter dated 03.10.2022 to the respondents, however, the second respondent passed the impugned order on 15.11.2022 rejecting his request on the ground that with regard to the subject issue, a suit was filed in O.S.No.70 of 1985 and corresponding execution proceedings are pending. He contended that mere pendency of the suit and corresponding execution petitions and applications cannot be taken as a ground



to reject the petitioner's request and hence, the petitioner has come forward with the present Writ Petition.

WEB COPY

4. The learned counsel for the third respondent submitted that in regard to the subject issue, the petitioner as power agent, as well as his wife, has filed a petition under Section 47 of CPC in E.A.No.156 of 2007 & E.A.No.387 of 2016 in E.P.No.44 of 2000 in O.S.No.70 of 1985 before the Sub Court, Panruti respectively and the same are pending.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and also taking note of the submissions made by the learned counsel on either side and since the petitions filed on the side of the petitioner in E.A.No.156 of 2007 & E.A.No.387 of 2016 in E.P.No.44 of 2000 in O.S.No.70 of 1985 are pending, this Court grants liberty to the petitioner to make a fresh representation to the local authorities after disposal of the aforesaid execution applications.

6. With the above observation and direction, this Writ Petition is disposed of. There shall be no order as to costs.

09-06-2026

Index: Yes/No

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

DP



To
WEB COPY

1. The District Collector
Office of District Collector,
Cuddalore District.
2. The Block Development Officer
(Village Panchayat) Lakshminarayanapuram
Block Development Office, Panruti.



WEB COPY

WP No. 1256 of 2



M.DHANDAPANI, J.

DP

WP No. 1256 of 2023

09-06-2026