



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 438 of 2026



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED: 19-01-2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR.JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

CRL OP No. 438 of 2026

1. T. Jabakumar
S/o. Therraravaian, No.121, 3rd Unit
Madhavaram, Tiruvallur, Tamilnadu
-600051

Petitioner(s)

Vs

1. State Rep.by, The Inspector of
Police,
M-6, Manali Police Station, Chennai.
(Cr.No. 548/2025)

Respondent(s)

PRAYER

To enlarge the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest pending investigation in P.S. Cr.No. 548/2025 on the file of the Respondent police.

For Petitioner(s): Arungandhi G

For Respondent(s): M/S.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl.Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehend arrest at the hands of the respondent police for the alleged offences punishable under Sections 126(2), 296(b), 115(2), 125, 309(4), 311, 351(3) of BNS in Crime No. 548 of 2025, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The allegation against the petitioner is that the petitioner, along with two other accused, waylaid the de facto complainant and, at knife-point, taken away Rs. 5,000 in cash and a mobile phone from him, and thereafter threatened him with dire consequences. Hence, the case has been registered.

3. The learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that, since the petitioner has some bad antecedents, he has been falsely implicated in this case and no one was injured in this case. He further submitted that the petitioner is ready to cooperate with the investigation and, therefore, prayed for the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the petitioner has 24 previous cases and the investigation is pending, and that A2 and A3 have already been arrested and released on bail. Hence, he opposed the grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

5. Having heard the learned counsel on either side and perused the materials available on record, on careful perusal of the FIR it is revealed that it is a case of no injury and it is alleged that the petitioner threatened the de facto complainant and took away Rs. 5,000 in cash and a cell phone. Considering the



fact that the property has not been recovered and that the petitioner has 24 previous cases, including two murder cases, this Court is not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner. Accordingly, the Criminal Original Petition is dismissed.

19-01-2026

Mpa

Index: Yes/No

Speaking/Non-speaking order

Internet: Yes

Neutral Citation: Yes/No

To

1.State Rep.by, The Inspector of Police,
M-6, Manali Police Station, Chennai.
(Cr.No. 548/2025)

2.The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras.



WEB COPY

CRL OP No. 438 of 2026



K.RAJASEKAR J.
mpa

CRL OP No. 438 of 2026

19-01-2026