



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.807 of 2025

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 12.01.2026

CORAM

THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE K.RAJASEKAR

Crl.O.P.No.807 of 2026

M.S.Ramesh Kumar

... Petitioner

Vs.

The State rep by
Inspector of Police,
Annamalai Nagar Police Station,
Cuddalore District.

... Respondent

PRAYER : Criminal Original Petition filed under Section 482 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail in the event of his arrest in Cr.No.230 of 2025 pending on the file of the respondent police.

For Petitioner : Mr.T.Elumalai
For Respondent : Ms.J.R.Archana
Government Advocate (Crl. Side)

ORDER

The petitioner, who apprehends arrest for the alleged offence under Sections 296(b), 115(2), 351(3) of BNS @ Section 4 of TNPWH Act in Cr.No.230 of 2025 on the file of the respondent police, seeks anticipatory bail.



2. The prosecution case is that due to money dispute, the petitioner abused and attacked the defacto complainant and caused injuries to him, which led to the registration of the FIR. Hence, the present case.

3. The learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner has not committed any such offence as alleged by the prosecution. He further submitted that it is a case and counter case and the injured has taken treatment as out patient . Hence, he prayed for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

4. The learned Government Advocate (Crl. Side) appearing for the respondent police reiterated the prosecution case and submitted that the injured has taken treatment as out patient and the investigation in this case is pending. Hence, he vehemently opposed the grant of anticipatory bail.

5. Heard both sides and perused the materials available on record.

6. Considering the nature of the allegations and the fact that the injured has taken treatment as out patient, it is a case and counter case and the investigation in this case is pending , this Court is inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner, subject to certain conditions.

7. Accordingly, the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail in the event of arrest or on his appearance within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date on which the order copy made, before the learned Judicial



Magistrate, Chidambaram on condition that the petitioner shall execute a bond for a sum of **Rs.20,000/- (Rupees Twenty Thousand only) with two sureties** each for a like sum to the satisfaction learned Magistrate concerned, and on further condition that:

(a) If the petitioner fails to surrender before the concerned Magistrate within a period of fifteen (15) days from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, this order shall stand automatically cancelled;

(b) The sureties shall affix their photographs and left thumb impression in the application for surety ship (Judicial Form No.46 annexed to 'The Criminal Rules of Practice, 2019]'. The learned Magistrate shall obtain a copy of any one of identify proofs to ensure their identity;

(c) **The petitioner shall report before the respondent police daily at 10.30 a.m., for a period of three weeks and thereafter, as and when required for interrogation;**

(d) On breach of any of the aforesaid conditions, the learned Magistrate/Trial Court is entitled to take appropriate actions against the petitioner in accordance with law as if the conditions have been imposed and the petitioner released on anticipatory bail by the learned Magistrate/Trial Court



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.807 of 2025

himself as laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in
P.K.Shaji v. State of Kerala [(2005) AIR SCW 5560];

(e) If the accused thereafter absconds, a fresh FIR can
be registered under Section 269 of BNS Act.

12.01.2026

Vv

To

1. The Judicial Magistrate, Chidambaram
2. The Inspector of Police,
Annamalai Nagar Police Station,
Cuddalore District.
3. The Public Prosecutor
High Court of Madras, Chennai 600 104.



WEB COPY



Crl.OP.No.807 of 2026

K.RAJASEKAR, J.

Vv

Crl.O.P.No.807 of 2026

12.01.2026