

IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR

WEDNESDAY, THE 27TH OCTOBER 2010 / 5TH KARTHIKA 1932

WP(C).No. 3964 of 2008(V)

PETITIONER(S):

**K.K.SIDHARTHAN, PROPRIETOR, HOTEL
SIDHARTHA REGENCY, KOKKALAI, TRICHUR-21,
PRESENTLY RESIDING AT P.B.NO.13951, DUBAI, U.A.E
REPRESENTED BY HIS POWER OF ATTORNEY HOLDER
MR.K.A.RAJAN, S/O.APPU, AGED 50, KOPPARA HOUSE,
POST CHAVAKKAD, MANATHALA VILLAGE, THRISSUR.**

**BY ADV. SRI.RAJIT
SRI.RANJIT BABU**

RESPONDENT(S):

- 1. STATE OF KERALA REPRESENTED BY SECRETARY
OF MINISTRY OF HOME AFFAIRS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 2. THE DIRECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE,
POLICE HEAD QUARTERS, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 3. SUPERINTENDENT OF POLICE, THRISSUR
COLLECTORATE, AYYANTHOLE, THRISSUR DISTRICT.**
- 4. CIRCLE INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TOWN
EAST POLICE STATION, THRISSUR.**
- 5. SUB INSPECTOR OF POLICE, TOWN EAST
POLICE STATION, THRISSUR.**

*** ADDL. R6 IMPEADED**

**ADDL. R6: SINDHU SIDHARTHAN
AGED 37 YEARS, W/O/ SIDHARTHAN,
4TH FLAT, CHELOOR TOWER,
PUTHOL DESOM.**

*** ADDL. R6 IS IMPEADED AS PER ORDER IN IA.5790/2010 DATED 17/5/2010.**

**R1 TO R5 BYGOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. I.V.PRAMOD
ADDL.R6 BY ADV. SRI.S.RAJEEV, ADDL.**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD
ON 27/10/2010, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE
FOLLOWING:**

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

**P1 COPY OF THE F.I.R'SIN CRIME NO. 666/2007, 667/2007, 668/2007 OF TOWN EAST
POLICE STATION, THRISSUR.**

RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS: NIL

/TRUE COPY/

P.A.TO. JUDGE

sts

M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR, J.

W.P.C.NO.3964 OF 2008

Dated 27th October, 2010

JUDGMENT

Petition is filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India for a direction to hand over investigation of Crime Nos.666/2007, 667/2007 and 668/2007 of Town East Police Station, Thrissur to a Senior Police Officer for proper investigation. Wife of the petitioner was subsequently got impleaded as additional sixth respondent. Learned counsel appearing for the sixth respondent pointed out that final report in Crime Nos.666/2007 and 667/2007 were already submitted and challenging the cognizance taken against one of the final reports, accused has already approached this Court to quash the same.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the

petitioner and the learned counsel appearing for the sixth respondent submitted that matrimonial disputes between them are being settled. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submitted that in such circumstances, writ petition may be disposed.

3. The writ petition is filed to hand over investigation of three crimes, of which final reports were already submitted in two cases, I do not find that it is necessary to hand over the investigation of the third case to another police officer, as sought for. Petitioner is at liberty to challenge the final report, if necessary. Even if final report is filed, it will not be an impediment for the settlement. Petition is disposed.

M.SASIDHARAN NAMBIAR,
JUDGE.

uj.