IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No.26 of 2026

Decided on: 28th April, 2026

Nidhya Ram **.....Petitioner**

Versus

Sh. Rakesh Kanwar and another **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Ms. Anchal Sharma, Advocate vice Mr. Balwant Singh Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajat Choudhry, Assistant Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Respondents have filed the compliance affidavit, placing on record office order dated 25.03.2026. Learned Assistant Advocate General submits that in terms of the aforesaid documents, the judgment in question stands complied with.

2. Learned vice counsel appearing for the petitioner does not dispute the above fact, but seeks liberty for the petitioner for availing the appropriate remedy in case of any surviving grievances.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes**

3. Taking note of above, the present contempt proceedings are closed. Notices issued to the respondents are discharged. Liberty is, however, reserved to the petitioner to seek appropriate remedy for the redressal of his surviving grievances, if any, in accordance with law.

Taking note of the fact that consideration order has been passed by the respondents prior to imposition of costs upon them under order dated 07.04.2026 passed in this petition, the costs shall stand waived.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

April 28, 2026
Mukesh