IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.21025 of 2025
Date of Decision: 5.1.2026

Thakur Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and Ors.

.....Respondents

Coram
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting?
For the Petitioner: Mr. Amit Kumar Dhumal, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General, Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Sandeep Sharma, J. *(Oral)*

Before reply, if any, could be called for from the respondents, learned counsel representing the petitioner, while inviting attention of this Court to judgment dated 29.11.2024 passed by Coordinate Bench this Court in *CWP No. 1638 of 2024* titled ***Mohit Sharma & Anr. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Ors.,*** states that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by Coordinate Bench this Court in ***Mohit Sharma*** (*supra*) and as such, petitioner would be content and satisfied in case directions are issued to the respondents to consider and decide representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-3) in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

2. While putting in appearance on behalf of respondents, Mr. Rajan Kahol, learned Additional Advocate General, states that he is

not averse to aforesaid innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

3. Having perused averments contained in the petition, which is duly supported by an affidavit vis-a-vis judgment sought to be relied upon, this Court finds that issue raised in the instant proceedings already stands adjudicated by coordinate Bench of this Court in **Mohit Sharma** (supra), as such, there appears to be no impediment in issuing direction to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioner in light of aforesaid judgment in a time bound manner.

4. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court, without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the present petition with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner ***(Annexure P-3)*** in light of ***Mohit Sharma*** *(supra)*, expeditiously, preferably within a period of four weeks. Ordered accordingly. In case, petitioner is found to be similarly situate to the petitioners in the aforesaid judgment, he would be extended similar benefits. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass appropriate orders thereafter. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

January 5, 2026 **(Sandeep Sharma),**
(manjit) **Judge**