IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 21007 of 2026 and connected matters
Decided on: 25.11.2026

---

1. CWP No.21007 of 2026

Sanjeev Kumar Verma & Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.21013 of 2026

Man Singh and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.21015 of 2026

Jagdish Chand **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.21016 of 2026

Seema Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.21032 of 2026

Suman Lata & anr **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No.21035 of 2026

Nanak Chand and Ors **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

7. CWP No.21036 of 2026

Priyanka Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

8. CWP No.21037 of 2026

Samriti Gathania **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

9. CWP No.21039 of 2026

Anu Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

10. CWP No.21042 of 2026

Asha Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

11. CWP No.21087 of 2026

Lata Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s Vandana Thakur, Jagmohan Sharma, Piyush Dhanotia, Vivek Thakur, Pankaj Thakur and Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocates in the respective writ petitions.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in

---

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 25, 2026
R.Atal