IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA.

Ex. Petition No.392 of 2026
Decided on: 28th April, 2026

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of H.P and another

...Respondents

Coram

Hon'ble Mr.Justice Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner:	**Mr.Bonit Prakash, Advocate vice Mr.A.K. Gupta, Advocate.**
For the respondents:	**Mr.Sumit Sharma, Deputy Advocate General for respondent No.1.**
	Mr.Abhishek, Advocate for respondent No.2.

Jiya Lal Bhardwaj, Judge (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has produced a copy of office order dated 10.04.2026 passed by the Secretary, Himachal Pradesh Board of School Education, Dharamshala. The same is taken on record.

2. A perusal of the office order reveals that the petitioner has been granted work charge status w.e.f. 12.02.2004 on notional basis. Since the petitioner has been granted the work charge status w.e.f. 12.02.2004, respondent

[1] *Whether the reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.*

No.2 is directed to revise the pay of the petitioner within eight weeks from today.

3. Since the mandate contained in the judgment dated 12.08.2025 passed in CWP No.12987 of 2025, titled, ***Ashok Kumar*** vs. ***State of Himachal Pradesh and another*** stands complied with, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition. However, it is made clear that in case the pay of the petitioner is not re-fixed after taking into account the work charge status granted to him within eight weeks, he shall be at liberty to file an application for revival of the execution petition.

4. The petition is accordingly disposed of, so also the pending applications, if any.

(**Jiya Lal Bhardwaj**)
Judge

April 28, 2026
(naveen)