IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1866 of 2026

IN

CWP No.12095 of 2026

Decided on: 06.11.2026

Amin Chand .....Petitioner

Versus

Nipun Jindal and another ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Ms. Sarita Rana, Advocate vice Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Rahul Thakur, Advocate.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 23.07.2026 *[Annexure P-1]*, passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.12095 of 2026,*** titled as *Amin Chand versus Himachal Road Transport Corporation and others.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "2. As prayed for, this petition is disposed of with the direction that representation

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner be decided by the Competent Authority within a period of twelve weeks from today in terms of the contents therein....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of the order/judgment dated 23.07.2026 *[Annexure P-1],* petitioner has submitted a reminder dated 04.08.2026 enclosing therewith copies of order/judgment and representation dated 24.05.2026 *[P-2]* requesting the Respondents to implement the order/judgment by deciding the representation *[Annexure P-3 annexed with the Writ Petition]*. Learned Counsel further submits that neither the representation *[Annexure P-3]* has been decided nor a speaking order has been conveyed to him as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Rahul Thakur, Learned Counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondents may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 23.07.2026 *[Annexure P-1]* in a time bound manner.

6. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned Counsel for the Respondents also.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondents to decide the representation *[Annexure P-3 annexed with the said Writ Petition],* by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

8. Notice issued to the Respondents shall stand discharged, accordingly.

9. Needless to say, that, in case, the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 06, 2026
[Bhardwaj]