IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.19493 of 2026

Date of Decision: 30.10.2026

Ravinder Kumar & others .…….Petitioners

Versus

Union of India & others … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner: Ms. Sangeeta Vasudeva, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Balram Sharma, Deputy Solicitor General of India, for Union of India.

Mr. Anup Rattan, advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General, Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. J.R. Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.4 to 6.

Mr. Hitesh Khajuria, Advocate vice Mr. K. B. Khajuria, Advocate, for respondent No.7.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has prayed for following main relief:

"i) That the respondent No.2 may kindly be directed to issue eligibility certificate in favour of the petitioners;

ii) That respondent No.3 to 5 may be directed to make allotment to the petitioners in commendable area in District

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> Sriganganagar Phase-I or District Bikaner i.e. Tehsil Puggal or Chattargarh alongwith residential plot with further direction to the State of Rajasthan with further direction to the State of Rajasthan to pay compensation to the tune of Rs. 5 crore for non settlement in State of Rajasthan for 46 years and cost of the land in District Sriganganagar to the tune of Rs. 5 crore of the land on which the father of the petitioners was originally suppose to be settled by the state of Rajasthan."

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that her clients would be satisfied in case they are permitted to make a representation clearly setting out therein their grievances. The prayer being innocuous is allowed.

3. Consequently, this Court deems it appropriate to dispose of this petition by permitting the petitioners to make a representation to the respondents and in the event of such representation being made within three weeks from today, this Court has no reason to doubt that the same shall be considered sympathetically by the respondents within a period of six weeks from the receipt of such representation. Ordered accordingly.

4. The writ petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any, also stands disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

October 30,2026
(shankar)