**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No.19279 of 2026**

**Date of Decision: 28.10.2026**

M/s Bansal Orthopaedic Centre .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others ... Respondents

Coram:
**Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.**
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

| | |
|---|---|
| **For the Petitioner:** | Mr. Ajay Chandel, Senior Advocate with Ms. Ridhi Verma and Mr. Sidhant Jamwal, Advocates. |
| **For the Respondents**: | Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates Generals, for the respondent-State.<br><br>Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, CGC, for respondent No.2. |

**Sandeep Sharma, Judge**(oral):

By way of instant petition, petitioner has prayed for following main relief:

> ***"i) That the respondents may kindly be directed to make the payment of all the duly verified and approved claims submitted on account of the treatment of the patients/beneficiaries by the petitioner Hospital under the Ayushman Bharat PM-JAY Scheme amounting to Rs. 57,630/- and under the HIMCARE Scheme amounting to Rs. 29, 07,750/-alongwith interest @ 1%***

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

***of claim amount per week after 15 days of delay/turn-around time as provided in the Scheme."***

**2.** It is not in dispute that the legal issue involved in this petition has already been adjudicated upon in CWP No. 2080/2026, **titled as M/s Maatri Medicity & Orthocare Hospital Vs. State of H.P. & Ors**. decided on 21.07.2026. Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the dispute involved in this petition is covered by the said decision.

**3.** Learned Senior Counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner would be content and satisfied if the respondents/ competent authority are directed to consider and decide the case of the petitioner, in accordance with law and in the light of the aforesaid judgment, in a time-bound manner. The prayer is not opposed by learned counsel for the respondents.

**4.** In view of above submissions, respondent No. 4/ competent authority is directed to examine the claim of the petitioner within two weeks. In case the claim is found to be covered in terms of **M/s Maatri Medicity & Orthocare Hospital** (supra), the directions issued therein including the timelines provided therein shall, mutatis mutandis, apply to the instant case as well. The said respondent/competent authority shall pass an appropriate order in this regard within two weeks. The decision so arrived at shall also be

communicated to the petitioner. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

**(Sandeep Sharma),**
**Judge**

October 28, 2026
**(shankar)**