IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

Execution Petition No.2597 of 2026
Date of Decision: 25.11.2026

Dr. Namrta & Ors. .....Petitioners

Versus

UOI & Ors. … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vikas Misra, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Central Government Counsel, for the respondent-UOI.

Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General, for the respondents-State.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

By way of instant Execution Petition, prayer has been made on behalf of the petitioners for issuance of directions to the respondents for implementation and execution of the order/judgment dated 07.01.2026 passed by this Court in CWP No.439 of 2026, titled as ***Dr. Namrta & others Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & others***, whereby afore petition was disposed of with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide case of the petitioners in light of judgment passed in LPA No. 775 of 2025 titled as ***State of HP & Ors. Vs. Nitin Thakur & Ors.*** Since, despite there being specific direction to do the needful, as taken note herein above, respondents failed to

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

comply with the judgment, petitioners have approached this Court in the instant proceedings.

2. Mr. Shyam Singh Chauhan, Central Government Counsel and Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, while accepting notice on behalf of the respondents, state that though they have every reason to believe and presume that by now aforesaid orders, sought to be executed, must have been complied with, but if not, same would be complied with within a period of two weeks from today.

3. Consequently, in view of the afore undertaking given by learned counsel for the respondents, this Court sees no reason to keep the present petition alive and as such, same is accordingly disposed of with the direction to the respondents to do the needful, positively within a period of two weeks, if not already done, failing which, petitioners would be at liberty to get the present proceedings revived, so that appropriate action, in accordance with law, is taken towards implementation of the judgment/ order, sought to be executed in the instant proceedings.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

November 25, 2026
(sunil)