IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

COPC No. 1766 of 2026

Date of decision: 30.10.2026.

Sikandar Singh ...Petitioner.

Versus

Er. Anju Sharma & another ...Respondents.

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Ravinder Thakur, vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge:

Petitioner has invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/judgment dated 30.06.2026 [Annexure C-1], passed by this Court, in CWP No. 6250 of 2025, titled as Sikandar Singh versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others. The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "5. In view of above, without expressing any opinion on merits of rival contentions of parties, the present petition is disposed of with a

[1] ***Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes***

> direction to the respondent Department to consider and decide the case of the petitioner in the light of order dated 05.06.2025 passed in CWP No. 9365 of 2025, titled Lakhveer Singh vs. State of H.P. & Ors. and order dated 17.08.2023 referred therein as well as Office Order dated 18.04.2026 issued by Executive Engineer Jal Shakti Division Dehra, placed on record in the present petition along with the application, i.e. CMP No. 15057 of 2026, by affording an opportunity of hearing, if so desired and by passing a reasoned and speaking order, without discrimination, on or before 31.08.2026. Needless to say, in case of any surviving grievance, the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy for redressal thereof."

2. Heard.

3. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

4. At this stage, Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondents may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 30.06.2026 [Annexure C-1] in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

5. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondents to implement the order/judgment dated 30.06.2026 [Annexure C-1], within six weeks from today.

6. Notices issued to the Respondents shall stand discharged, accordingly.

7. Needless to say that in case the order/judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 30, 2026.
(kck)