2026:HHC:48267

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1778 of 2026

IN

CWP No.10476 of 2026

Decided on: 02.11.2026

Pritam Chand .....Petitioner

Versus

Ashish Kohli ....Respondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Ms. Babita Chauhan, Advocate vice Mr. A.K. Gupta, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 06.07.2026 *[Annexure C-1]*, passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.10476 of 2026,*** titled as *Pritam Chand versus State of H.P. & Ors.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "2. In light of the fact that the petitioner has approached the Court directly without firstly

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> approaching the competent Authority, as prayed for, this petition is disposed of with the direction that in the event of the petitioner filing a separate representation with regard to the issue raised in this petition to the competent Authority within a period of four weeks from today, let the same be decided in accordance with law, within a period of four weeks thereafter, in view of the averments made therein as well as judgments, if any, being relied upon by the petitioner, by passing a speaking order....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that after passing of the order/judgment dated 06.07.2026 *[Annexure C-1],* petitioner has submitted a representation to Director of School Education, Himachal Pradesh *[Annexure C-2],* but the Respondent has neither decided the representation nor extended the admissible benefits in terms of the aforesaid order/judgment as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the sole Respondent.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondent may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 06.07.2026

[Annexure C-1] in a time bound manner.

6. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondent to decide the representation by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

8. Notice issued to the Respondent shall stand discharged, accordingly.

9. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 02, 2026
[Bhardwaj]