IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1752 of 2026

IN

CWP No.6609 of 2026

Decided on: 29.10.2026

Sandeep Kumar and others .....Petitioners

Versus

Ashish Kohli ....Respondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioners: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioners have invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 18.05.2026 *[Annexure C-1],* passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.6609 of 2026,*** titled as *Sandeep Kumar and others versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "2. As prayed for, this petition is disposed of with the direction that let representations

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> (Annexure P-1 (colly)) of the petitioners be decided by the Competent Authority, in the light of the averments contained therein, by passing a speaking order, within 12 weeks' from today, in accordance with law....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that neither the representations *[Annexure P-1 (colly) annexed with the said Writ Petition]* have been decided nor a speaking order has been conveyed to them as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the sole Respondent..

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioners prays that Respondent may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 18.05.2026 *[Annexure C-1]* in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

6. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioners, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondent to decide the representations *[Annexure P-1*

(colly) annexed with the said Writ Petition], by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

7. Notice issued to the Respondent shall stand discharged, accordingly.

8. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioners are free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 29, 2026
[Bhardwaj]