2026:HHC:47483

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1753 of 2026

IN

CWP No.6422 of 2026

Decided on: 29.10.2026

Bali Ram and others .....Petitioners

Versus

Ashish Kohli ....Respondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioners: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioners have invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 14.05.2026 *[Annexure C-1]*, passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.6422 of 2026,*** titled as *Bali Ram and others versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "2. As prayed for, this writ petition is disposed of with the direction that the representation made

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> by the petitioners, appended with the writ petition as Annexure P-1, shall be decided by the competent Authority, in light of the averments made therein within a period of 12 weeks from today, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that neither the representation *[Annexure P-1 annexed with the said Writ Petition]* has been decided nor a speaking order has been conveyed to them as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the sole Respondent..

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioners prays that Respondent may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 14.05.2026 *[Annexure C-1]* in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

6. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioners, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the

Respondent to decide the representations *[Annexure P-1 annexed with the said Writ Petition],* by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

7. Notice issued to the Respondent shall stand discharged, accordingly.

8. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioners are free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 29, 2026
[Bhardwaj]