IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1750 of 2026

IN

CWP No.9111 of 2026

Decided on: 29.10.2026

Narender Kumar .....Petitioner

Versus

Ashish Kohli ....Respondent

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Vaibhav Tanwar, Advocate.

For the respondent: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 17.06.2026 *[Annexure C-1]*, passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.9111 of 2026,*** titled as *Narender Kumar versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "2. As prayed for, this petition is disposed of with the direction that let representation

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner be decided by the Competent Authority within a period of 12 weeks from today in terms of the contents therein……."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the representation *[Annexure P-1 annexed with the said Writ Petition]* has been decided nor a speaking order has been conveyed to him as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the sole Respondent.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondent may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 17.06.2026 *[Annexure C-1]* in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

6. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondent to decide the representation *[Annexure P-1 annexed with the said Writ Petition],* by passing a speaking

order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

7. Notice issued to the Respondent shall stand discharged, accordingly.

8. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 29, 2026
[Bhardwaj]