2026:HHC:50790

IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

COPC No. 1786/2026

Decided on: 23.11.2026

Deep Kumar Sharma & ors. .....Petitioners

Versus

Ashish Kumar Kohli & anr. ....Respondents

Coram:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Romesh Verma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Adarsh K. Vashista, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Baldev Singh Negi, Addl. A.G.

Romesh Verma, Judge (oral)

The present petition has been filed alleging non-compliance of order, dated 12.11.2024, as passed by Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in CWP No. 3887/2023.

2 Today, the learned Additional Advocate General has placed on record copy of communication/instructions, dated 21.11.2026 as addressed by the Additional Director, Directorate of School Education, Shimla, to learned Advocate General. Along with the same, he has also placed on record

---

[1]Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

copy of office order dated, 02.03.2026, relevant text whereof reads as under:-

> *"Keeping in view of the above the contractual service qua the petitioners in CWP No (3887/2023 titled as Rup Lal and Others Vs State of HP and others, CWP No.5397/2021 titled as Surinder Kumar Vs State of HP & Others, CWP No.5580/2021 titled as Ajay Kumar Vs State of HP & Others, CWP No. 6133/2021 titled as Rakesh Katoch Vs State of HP & others, CWP No. 7094/2021 titled as Meena Devi Vs State of HP & Others, CWP No.7100/2021 titled as Archna Devi Vs State of HP & Others and CWP No. 1342/2022 titled as Hans Raj Rana Vs State of HP & Others is hereby counted as qualifying service for the benefit of pension under CCS (Pension) Rules 1972, subject to the final outcome of SLP (C) Diary No. 32886 of 2025 (State of Himachal Pradesh Vs Narayan Dutt Sharma) filed by the State and subsequent orders of Hon'ble Supreme Court in the said SPL."*

3. It has been contended by the learned Additional Advocate General that the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***SLP (Civil) No. 32886/2025, titled as the State of Himachal Pradesh vs. Narayan Dutt Sharma***, has stayed the order in question qua counting of increments.

4 Admittedly, the Hon'ble Supreme Court has stayed counting of increments and there is no dispute with that regard.

5 As far as office order, dated 02.03.2026 is concerned, it has been projected by the learned Additional Advocate General that the order in question has been fully complied with and there is no violation of any kind.

6 Consequently, in view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the instant petition and the same is ordered to be closed with direction to the respondents to take office order, dated 02.03.2026 to its logical end by filing compliance affidavit before this Court on or before **08.01.2026.** The petition stands disposed of.

(Romesh Verma)
Judge

23.11.2026
(pankaj)