IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.19608 of 2026

Date of Decision: 02.11.2026

Narender Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Dinesh Bhanot, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General with Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu, Deputy Advocates General.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Being aggrieved on account of non-implementation of order dated 18.12.2025, issued by Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Nalagarh, District Solan, Himachal Pradesh, whereby direction came to be issued to the Block Development Officer, Nalagarh, District Solan, to ensure that place for organizing wrestling at village Aduwal Jandori be shifted to some other place, petitioner has approached this Court in the instant proceedings, praying therein for following main relief:-

> "i) That as apparent from the copy of the order attached as Annexure P-2 the Gram Panchayat has been restrained from organizing the village wrestling at the present spot however the grievance of the petitioner has still not been

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

redressed as such, the action of the respondents is not in consonance with the public policy as such, the same is bad in the eyes of law;

ii) That the action of the respondents is also arbitrary and against the settled principles of law on the subject as such, the same may kindly be needs to be correct;

iii) That the non implementation of the order appears to be not so warm attitude of the respondents is unjust, illegal, arbitrary, harsh, unconstitutional against the principles of public policy and the same cannot be sustained in the eyes of law on any count and thus needs to be implemented in its letter and spirit."

2. Having regard to the nature of the order proposed to be passed, this Court sees no necessity to call for reply from the respondents, who are otherwise represented by Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General.

3. Precisely, the grouse of the petitioner, as has been highlighted in the petition and further canvassed by learned counsel for the petitioner, is that vide representation dated 25.02.2025, request was made to Sub-Divisional Officer, Nalagarh to ensure that wrestling events may not be organized near the residential houses, rather for that purpose, some appropriate place be identified outside the village, since on account of organizing wrestling in residential area, great inconvenience is caused to the villagers, especially women.

4. Having taken note of aforesaid representation made by the residents of village Aduwal, Jandori, Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Nalagarh vide communication dated 18.12.2025, directed Block Development Officer, Nalagarh to ensure that to avoid inconvenience to the local residents as well as to their property, place for organizing wrestling be shifted to some other place, but since no action till date has been taken by aforesaid authority pursuant to direction issued by Sub-Divisional Officer (Civil), Nalagarh, petitioner is compelled to approach this Court in the instant proceedings, praying for the reliefs, as have been reproduced hereinabove.

5. Mr. Ravi Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, fairly states that consequential action, if not already taken in terms of order dated 18.12.2025 by the Block Development Officer, same shall be taken expeditiously.

6. Consequently, in view of the above, this Court without going into the merits of the case, deems it fit to dispose of the same with a direction to Sub Divisional Officer(C), Nalagarh to ensure that directions contained in order dated 18.12.2025, addressed to BDO, Nalagarh, are taken to its logical end expeditiously, preferably within a period of eight weeks. Ordered accordingly. Needless to say, authority concerned, while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being head to the petitioner as well as other

stake holders, especially villagers. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

November 02, 2026
(shankar)