IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.19746 of 2026 along with connected matters.
Decided on :5th November, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 19746 of 2026.

Surender Singh & Ors. **...Petitioners.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 19787 of 2026.

Anil Sharma & Ors. **...Petitioners.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 19780 of 2026.

Kesari Devi **...Petitioner.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 19796 of 2026.

Sandeep Kumar **...Petitioner.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

5. CWP No. 19859 of 2026.

Manmohan Singh **...Petitioner.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 19861 of 2026.

Bhag Singh **...Petitioner.**
Versus
State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Rajender Gulati, Anil Kumar Manget and Mr. Yogesh Kumar Chandel, Advocates.

For the respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations (annexed with the respective petitions), seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2]CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

5th November, 2026.
(jai)