IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.2559 of 2026

IN

CWP No.13460 of 2024

Decided on: 02.11.2026

Bhagwan Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

The Secretary HPSEB and others ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Skandh Sharma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Virender Singh Kanwar, Advocate.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking execution/implementation of order/judgment dated 25.11.2024 *[Annexure P-1],* passed in ***CWP No. 13460 of 2024,*** titled as *Bhagwan Singh versus Secretary HPSEB & Ors.,* whereby, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following orders:-

> "5. In view of above, the instant petition is disposed of by directing respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representation of the petitioner,

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioner……."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that in terms of order/judgment dated 25.11.2024 *[Annexure P-1],* petitioner submitted a representation dated 20.12.2024 *[Annexure P-2],* requested the Respondents to decide the representation and extend benefits in terms of said order/judgment, but nothing has been done till day.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Virender Singh Kanwar, Learned Standing Counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. Upon listing of the matter, Learned Counsel for the Respondents-Board submits that Respondents-Board has passed a speaking order dated 01.05.2025 *[Taken on Record],* whereby, claim of the petitioner has been rejected.

6. In aforesaid circumstances, since the directions to decide the representation, have already been complied with by passing a speaking order, rejecting the claim of petitioner, therefore, instant Execution Petition is snot

maintainable.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, liberty is reserved to the petitioner to assail the speaking/ rejection order, hereinabove, in accordance with law, if so desires.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall accordingly, stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 02, 2026
[Bhardwaj]