IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1749 of 2026

IN

CWP No.2166 of 2026

Decided on: 29.10.2026

Narinder Kumar and others .....Petitioners

Versus

Rakesh Kanwar and another ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioners: Mr. Rakesh Kumar Sharma Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioners have invoked contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/ judgment dated 02.03.2026 *[Annexure C-1],* passed by this Court, in ***CWP No.2166 of 2026,*** titled as *Narinder Kumar and others versus State of Himachal Pradesh and another.* The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "3. This petition, accordingly, as prayed for, is disposed of with the direction that let

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> representations of the petitioners be decided, in the light of the averments made therein as well as the judgment being relied upon by the petitioners, within a period of twelve weeks by the Competent Authority. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any view on the merits of the case and the representations shall be decided by the Authority independently without being influenced by any observation made by this Court in this regard."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that neither the representations *[Annexed with the said Writ Petition]* have been decided nor a speaking order has been conveyed to them as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioners prays that Respondents may be directed to implement the order/judgment dated 02.03.2026 *[Annexure C-1]* in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

6. Considering the matter in above perspective

and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioners, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondents to decide the representations *[Annexed with the said Writ Petition],* by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision *[if not already conveyed],* within six weeks from today.

7. Notices issued to the Respondents shall stand discharged, accordingly.

8. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioners are free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 29, 2026
[Bhardwaj]