IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADSH
AT SHIMLA

COPC No. 1627 of 2026
Decided on: 08.10.2026

Poonam Kumari ....Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Kanwar and another

....Respondents

Coram :
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner : Mr. Vikas Rajput, Advocate.

For the respondent : Ms. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General, for the respondents.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has invoked the contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order/judgment dated 03.05.2024 [Annexure P-1], passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWPOA No. 4187 of 2020**, titled as *Mukhtiar Singh versus State of Himachal Pradesh & others*. The Operative part of the order/judgment reads as under:-

> "5 Without adverting to the merits of the case, the instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the case of the petitioners within four weeks from today strictly in terms of the

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> judgment passed by this Court on 14th March, 2024, in CWPOA No. 2782 of 2019, titled as **Narender Singh Thakur and others versus State of Himachal Pradesh** and others, by passing a detailed and reasoned order. Needless to say that in case petitioners are found identically situated as the beneficiaries in the case(s) decided vide aforesaid judgment dated 14th March, 2014, **the petitioners shall also be extended all such benefits as made available to beneficiaries therein** within further period of four weeks."

2. Learned Counsel for petitioner submits that though the benefit of regularization from date of initial contract appointment has been granted in term of the judgment passed in Narendra Singh Thakur and Others vs. State of Himachal Pradesh [CWPOA No. 2782 of 2019] but other benefits, as given to the beneficiaries in case of Narender Singh Thakur have not been extended/released to the petitioner, as yet

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. Learned Counsel submits that petitioner shall be

satisfied, in case, the Respondents-Competent Authority decides the case of the petitioner in a time bound manner. [*Statement taken on record*]

6. Considering the matter in above perspective instant petition is disposed, with a direction to the Respondent to implement the order/judgment dated 03.05.2024, within six weeks from today. Notice issued to the respondents shall stand discharged, accordingly.

7. In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 08, 2026
(Anita)