IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17806 of 2026 and connected matters
Decided on: 09.10. 2026

---

1. CWP No. 17806 of 2026

Rohit Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No. 17807 of 2026

Kamlesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No. 17884 of 2026

Karan Thakur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioner: Mr. Tek Chand, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Rajat Chaudhary, Assistant Advocate General.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Petitioners seek applicability of the decision rendered in ***Taj Mohammad and others Versus The State of Himachal Pradesh and others***[2]. Petitioners' grievance is against the orders passed by respondent No.2, whereby their cases have been rejected in view of "Himachal Pradesh Recruitment and Conditions of Service of Government Employees Act, 2024".

2. It is an admitted position that "Himachal Pradesh Recruitment and Conditions of Service of Government Employees Act, 2024" has been quashed and set aside in ***Devinder Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr.***[3]. It is also a matter of record that ***State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr. Vs. Devinder Kumar & Ors.***[4] instituted by the State of Himachal Pradesh against the aforementioned judgment, has been dismissed by the Hon'ble Apex Court on 29.07.2026. While dismissing the appeal, the Hon'ble Apex Court granted four months' time to the State Government to do the needful in terms of the judgment.

---

[2] CWP No.2004 of 2017, decided alongwith connected matter on 03.08.2023.
[3] CWP No.3361 of 2025, decided alongwith connected matters on 25.04.2026
[4] Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s).24885/2026

3. In view of the above, the present writ petitions are disposed of by quashing the impugned orders or rejection, with direction to the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners afresh for grant of the relief prayed for by them, in accordance with the timeline indicated by the Hon'ble Apex Court. The petitioners are at liberty to seek appropriate remedy for the redressal of their surviving grievances, if any, at an appropriate stage, in case necessity so arises in future. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 9, 2026
R.Atal