IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.2545 of 2026

IN

CWP No.5978 of 2026

Decided on: 26.10.2026

Vimal Parkash .....Petitioner

Versus

State of HP and others ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Yogesh Kumar Chandel, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Vishav Deep Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking execution/implementation of order/judgment dated 12.03.2026 *[Annexure E-1],* passed in *CWP No. 2873 of 2026,* titled as *Vimal Parkash versus State of Himachal Pradesh and others,* whereby, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following orders:-

> "3. This petition, accordingly, as prayed for, is disposed of with the direction that let representation of the

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> petitioner be decided, in the light of the averments made therein as well as the judgment being relied upon by the petitioner, within a period of twelve weeks by the Competent Authority. It is clarified that this Court has not expressed any view on the merits of the case and the representation shall be decided by the Authority independently without being influenced by any observation made by this Court in this regard."

2. Learned Counsel submits that representation submitted by the petitioner has neither been decided nor any order has been communicated as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Vishav Deep Sharma, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be satisfied, in case, the Respondents-Competent Authority decides the case of the petitioner in a time bound manner *[Statement Taken on Record].*

6. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with direction to Respondents-Competent Authority to decide the representation in terms of the order/judgment dated 12.03.2026 *[Annexure*

E-1], within six weeks from today.

7. Needless to say, that in case, the decision is not taken in terms of the order/judgment, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, to seek appropriate remedy.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall accordingly, stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 26, 2026
[Bhardwaj]