IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.21052 of 2026
Date of decision: 18.11.2026

Braham Dass. ...Petitioner.

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation & Anr. …Respondents.

Coram

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge.

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Bhupesh Sharma, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioner : Mr. Ashok Kumar, Advocate.

For the respondent(s) : Mr. Virender Singh Kanwar, Advocate.

Vivek Singh Thakur, Judge

This petition has been preferred seeking the following substantive relief(s):-

> "i. That respondent may kindly be directed to consider the case of petitioners for granting the two additional increments on completion of 20 years of service as per notification dated 10.09.2015 (Annexure P-1) as well as orders of This Hon'ble High Court which has been upheld by the Apex court.
>
> ii. Those respondents may kindly be directed to consider and decide the Representation (Annexure P-4) of the Petitioner accordance with law.
>
> iii. That instruction dated 27.01.2023 (Annexure P-5) and notification dated 17.10.2022 (Annexure P-6) may kindly be quashed and set aside which are against the judgement of Hon'ble Apex court of India."

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the Judgment?* Yes

2. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered by the judgment dated 02.01.2026, passed in **CWP No.258 of 2024**, titled as ***Brestu Ram vs. HRTC & Anr.*** along with connected matters.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is not pressing his claim on the basis of the judgment annexed with the petition, but is pressing his claim only on the basis of CWP No.258 of 2024, and the petitioner shall be satisfied in case a time-bound direction is given to the respondent-Corporation to decide the claim of the petitioner in terms of the said judgment only.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation has submitted that in case so directed, the respondent-Corporation shall consider the case of the petitioner on its own merits, taking into consideration the judgment passed in ***Brestu Ram's*** **case (supra)**, and decide the claim of the petitioner accordingly within the time granted by this Court.

5. In view of above, the respondent-Corporation is directed to consider the claim of the petitioner in the light of and in terms of the judgment dated 02.01.2026, passed in **CWP No.258 of 2024**, titled as ***Brestu Ram vs. HRTC & Anr.*** along with connected

matters, on or before ***15.01.2027***, and decide the same by passing a speaking and reasoned order, after giving an opportunity of hearing, if so desired. Needless to say that a copy of the order so passed by the authority shall be communicated to the petitioner immediately thereafter.

6. The petitioner shall be at liberty to take appropriate recourse of law, including filing a fresh petition, for redressal of his grievance, if anything still survives to be adjudicated.

7. The writ petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(Vivek Singh Thakur)
Judge

(Bhupesh Sharma)
Judge

25th November, 2026
(Pardeep)