IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.2529 of 2026

IN

CWP No.1167 of 2026

Decided on: 02.11.2026

Gian Chand .....Petitioner

Versus

Himachal Road Transport Corporation and others ....Respondents

---

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. H.S. Rangra, Advocate.

For the respondents: Ms. Shrutika Chauhan, Advocate.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking execution/implementation of order/judgment dated 26.02.2026 *[Annexure P-1],* passed in ***CWP No. 1167 of 2026,*** titled as *Gian Chand versus Himachal Road Transport Corporation and others,* whereby, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following orders:-

> "3. Without making any observation on the merit of the case, this writ petition is accordingly

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> disposed of with the direction that the representation made by the petitioner, appended with the writ petition as application Annexure P-3, shall be decided by the competent Authority, in light of the averments made therein within a period of 12 weeks from today, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with law....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Respondents-Competent Authority has neither decided the representation *[Annexure P-3 annexed with said Writ Petition]* nor any speaking order has been conveyed till day.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Ms. Shrutika Chauhan, Learned Standing Counsel, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that though the case of the petitioner in terms of order/judgment dated 26.02.2026 *[Annexure P-1]* has not been decided, despite representation submitted on 05.03.2026 *[Annexure P-2].*

6. Upon listing of the matter today, Learned Counsel for the Respondents-Corporation places on record communication dated 09.10.2026 *[Taken on Record]*,

whereby, the case of the petitioner has been decided and retiral benefits alongwith interest have been released to him. The factum of release of benefits is not disputed, by Learned Counsel for the petitioner also.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective, nothing remains to be executed and therefore, the present proceedings are closed.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall accordingly, stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 02, 2026
[Bhardwaj]