IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.2533 of 2026

IN

CWP No.20076 of 2025

Decided on: 02.11.2026

Raj Kumari .....Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. and others ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Aasheesh Patial, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking execution/implementation of order/judgment dated 20.12.2025 *[Annexure E/1]*, passed in ***CWP No. 20076 of 2025,*** titled as *Raman Dhiman and another versus State of H.P. and others,* whereby, a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following orders:-

> "4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter,

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners (Annexures P-8 and P-9) in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment in the case of Dinesh Kumar2 within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the Respondents-Competent Authority has neither decided the representation *[Annexure P-9 annexed with said Writ Petition]* nor any speaking order has been conveyed till day.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner shall be satisfied, in case, the Respondents-Competent Authority decides the case of the petitioner in a time bound manner *[Statement Taken on Record].*

6. Considering the matter in above perspective

and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondents-Competent Authority to decide the matter in terms of the order/judgment dated 20.12.2025 *[Annexure E/ 1],* within six weeks from today.

7. Needless to say, that in case, the decision is not taken in terms of the order/judgment, liberty is reserved to the petitioner, to seek appropriate remedy.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall accordingly, stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 02, 2026
[Bhardwaj]