**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**Cr. Revision No. 668 of 2026**
**Date of Decision: 6.10.2026.**

---

Budhi Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & anr. ...Respondents

---

*Coram*

***Hon'ble Mr Justice Rakesh Kainthla, Judge.***

***Whether approved for reporting?***[1] ***No.***

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| For the Petitioner | : | Mr. Mandeep Chandel, Advocate. |
| For Respondent No.1-State | : | Mr. Ajit Sharma, Deputy Advocate General. |
| For Respondent No.2 | : | Mr. Dikken Kumar, Advocate. |

---

**Rakesh Kainthla, Judge (Oral)**

It has been stated on behalf of the respondent/complainant that the matter has been reconciled between the parties.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced the receipt dated 6.10.2026. issued by Member Secretary, H.P. Legal Services Authority, Kasumpti, Shimla, regarding the deposit of ₹6,750/- being the 7.5% of the cheque amount of ₹90,000/-, which is taken on record.

---

[1] Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent/complainant, under instructions, submits that the matter has been reconciled between the parties and he has instructions not to press the complaint.

4. In view of this statement, the present revision is allowed and the judgment of conviction dated 24.8.2024 and order of sentence dated 27.8.2024, passed by learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lahaul & Spiti at Kullu, H.P. in Complaint No. 244-I/2017, titled Sulakshna Sharma Vs. Budhi Singh as affirmed by learned Sessions Judge, Kullu, District Kullu, HP in Criminal Appeal No. 15 of 2025, dated 6.5.2026, titled Budhi Singh Vs. Sulakshna Sharma are ordered to be set aside and the complaint is dismissed as not pressed.

5. It has been submitted that ₹1,50,000/- have been deposited in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lahaul & Spiti at Kullu, H.P. which has to be disbursed to respondent No. 2/complainant in terms of the compromise. Let the amount of ₹1,50,000/-, deposited in the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Lahaul & Spiti at Kullu, H.P. are ordered to be disbursed to respondent No. 2/complainant along with up to date

interest by remitting the same to her bank account on furnishing of the bank account details, if not furnished earlier.

6. The petitioner is stated to be in judicial custody and he is presently lodged in Model Central Jail, Nahan, H.P. He is ordered to be released forthwith, if not required in any other case. Release warrants be prepared accordingly and the same be sent to the Superintendent, Model Central Jail, Nahan, H.P. through FASTER forthwith for necessary compliance.

7. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

**(Rakesh Kainthla)**
**Judge**

6th October, 2026
(Chander)

CHANDER SHEKHAR
Digitally signed by CHANDER SHEKHAR
Date: 2026.10.06 17:02:11 +0530