IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH
AT SHIMLA

COPC No.1547 of 2026
Decided on: 01.10.2026

Jitender Kumar and others

....Petitioners

Versus

Ashish Kumar Kohli ....Respondent

Coram :
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner : Mr. Roop Lal Chaudhary, Advocate.

For the respondent : Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General, for the respondent-State.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioners have invoked the contempt jurisdiction of this Court, alleging non-compliance of the order dated 27.02.2026, passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in **CWP No. 2049 of 2026**, titled as *Jitender Kumar and others versus State of Himachal Pradesh & another*. The Operative part of the order reads as under:-

> "2 Learned Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have filed representation (Annexure P-6), with the respondent–Department and this petition be disposed of by directing the Competent

---

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

> Authority to take appropriate decision thereupon within some time bond period in light of the averments made therein.
>
> 3. This Writ Petition is accordingly disposed of with the direction that the representation filed by the petitioners be decided by the Competent Authority in light of the averments made therein within a period of eight weeks from today."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that neither the representation [*annexed with the said Writ Petition*] has been decided nor any speaking order has been conveyed to the petitioner, as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the sole respondent.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondent may be directed to implement the order dated 27.02.2026 [*Annexure C-1*] in a time bound manner. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

6. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant

petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondent to decide the representation, by passing a speaking order and to convey the decision [*if not already conveyed*], within six weeks from today. Notice issued to the respondent shall stand discharged, accordingly.

7. Needless to say, that in case, the order passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, hereinafter in accordance with law.

8. In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

October 01, 2026
(Anita)