IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH AT SHIMLA

Ex. Petition No.2521 of 2026

IN

CWP No.6414 of 2024

Decided on: 02.11.2026

Sarita Kaundal .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh and others ....Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice Ranjan Sharma, Judge

[1] *Whether approved for reporting?*

For the petitioner: Mr. Ganesh Barowalia and Ms. Anamika Kohali, Advocates.

For the respondents: Mr. Varun Chandel, Additional Advocate General.

Ranjan Sharma, Judge

Petitioner has come up before this Court seeking execution/implementation of order/judgment dated 16.12.2025 *[Annexure E-1],* passed in ***CWP No. 6414 of 2024,*** titled as *Sushil Kumar Chambial and others versus State of H.P. and another,* a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court, had passed the following

[1] *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

orders:-

> "3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that grievances of the petitioners and the reliefs prayed by them have already been adjudicated upon in ***State of H.P and others versus Om Prakash*** and ***Ajay Kumar Thakur and others versus State of H.P and another.*** Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners would be content, in case, respondents are directed to consider their case for redressing their grievances raised by them in this writ petition, in accordance with law and in terms of above decision within a time bound schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.
>
> 4. Having regard to above submissions but without examining the merits of the matter, this petition is disposed of by directing the respondents to consider and decide the case of the petitioners in light of the aforesaid judgments and pass appropriate order in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order. The decision so arrived at, shall be communicated to the petitioners....."

2. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that though the benefit of deemed regular appointment has been given to the petitioner by Director of School Education, Himachal Pradesh, on 10.09.2025 *[Annexure*

E-2], but the monetary benefits have not been released to the petitioner as yet.

3. Heard.

4. Notice. Mr. Varun Chandel, Learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the Respondents.

5. *At this stage,* Learned Counsel for the petitioner prays that Respondents may be directed to fully implement the order/judgment dated 16.12.2025 *[Annexure E-1]* in a time bound manner.

6. Prayer being innocuous, is not opposed by Learned State Counsel also.

7. Considering the matter in above perspective and as prayed by Learned Counsel for the petitioner, instant petition is closed, with a direction to the Respondents to release admissible monetary-consequential benefits to the petitioner in terms of the judgment, in question, within six weeks from today.

8. Needless to say that in case the order/ judgment passed by this Court, is not complied with, the petitioner is free to seek appropriate remedy, in accordance with law.

In aforesaid terms, instant petition and all the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(Ranjan Sharma)
Judge

November 02, 2026
[Bhardwaj]