IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No.18415 of 2026, along with connected matters.
Decided on : 26th October, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 18415 of 2026.

Vinod Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 18430 of 2026.

Surinder Kumar & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 18432 of 2026.

Atter Singh **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 18433 of 2026.

Vivek Bhargava **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

5. CWP No.18436 of 2026.

Sarita Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

6. CWP No.18438 of 2026.

Kiran Bala & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

---

7. CWP No.18434 of 2026.

Sucheta Ran **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

8. CWP No.18439 of 2026.

Dinesh Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

9. CWP No.18440 of 2026.

Santosh Kumari & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

10. CWP No.18450 of 2026.

Diwan Chand **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

11. CWP No.18451 of 2026.

Vijay Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Pawan K Sharma, Ms. Tim Saran Sharma, Mr. Kush Sharma, Mr. Sourav Sharma and Mr. Rocky, Advocates.

---

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

For the respondents: Mr. L. N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L. N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations (Annexed with the respective petitions), seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a

[2]CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

26th October, 2026.
(jai)