IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17309 of 2026 alongwith connected matters

Decided on: 6th October, 2026

---

1. CWP No.17309 of 2026

Saroj Kumari **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.17311 of 2026

Guddi Kumari **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.17313 of 2026

Poonam Thakur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.17314 of 2026

Manju Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.17315 of 2026

Alzeria Rana **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No.17439 of 2026

Shobha **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

7. CWP No.17440 of 2026

Kanchan Kumari **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

8. CWP No.17441 of 2026

Swati Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Vishwa Bhushan, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, Advocate General with Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Seema Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that case of the petitioners and reliefs claimed for by them have already been adjudicated in ***Nisha Kumari & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] Learned counsel further submits that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the respective cases of the petitioners in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, notwithstanding the orders (Annexure P-5), these writ petitions are disposed of by directing the respondents/ competent authority to consider and decide the respective cases of the petitioners afresh, in light of the aforesaid judgment and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of

[2] CWP No.3038/2022, decided on 21.12.2022

copy of this order. The decision so arrived at, shall be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 06, 2026
Mukesh