IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.20930 of 2026 alongwith connected matters

Decided on: 25th November, 2026

---

1. CWP No.20930 of 2026

Rajesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.20933 of 2026

Balwant Negi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.20934 of 2026

Nanak Chand **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.20935 of 2026

Kamla Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.20940 of 2026

Anil Bhaikta **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and another **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Onkar Jairath, Senior Advocate with Ms. Neha Thakur, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S. Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations (annexed with the respective writ petitions) seeking applicability of ***State of Himachal Pradesh & Anr. Versus Sheela Devi***[2] and ***Ravinder Singh Rana Versus State of H.P. and Ors.***[3] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] SLP (Civil) No.10399/2020, decided on 07.08.2023

[3] CWP No.9084 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matters on 18.12.2025

would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgments within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 25, 2026
Mukesh