**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No. 20385 of 2026 and connected matters**
**Decided on: 18.11.2026**

---

**1. CWP No.20385 of 2026**

Suneel and Ors **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**2. CWP No.20409 of 2026**

Lal Singh **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**3. CWP No.20384 of 2026**

Suchita Soni **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**4. CWP No.20347 of 2026**

Kajal Sharma **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**5. CWP No.20340 of 2026**

Parveen Kumari **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**6. CWP No.20429 of 2026**

Pavan Kumar **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

**7. CWP No.20284 of 2026**

Manjeet Singh **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**Coram**

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua**

**Whether approved for reporting?**[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s Kush Sharma, Pankaj Thakur, Pawan K Sharma, Naresh Kaul and Vikas Rajput, Advocates in the respective writ petitions.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, Additional Advocate General.

---

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Notice. Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

**2.** Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

**3.** Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

November 18, 2026
*R.Atal*