IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 20405 of 2026
Decided on: 20.11.2026

Ram Dayal & Ors. ....Petitioners

Versus

Director Personal HPSEBL & Ors. …Respondents

Coram

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sushil Kukreja, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the petitioners : Mr. Ram Gopal Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents : Mr. Virender Singh Kanwar, Advocate, for respondents No. 1 to 3.

Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General, for respondent No. 4.

Sushil Kukreja, Judge (oral)

The present writ petition has been filed for grant of following substantives reliefs:-

> *"(i) To direct the respondents No. 1 to 3 to install Domestic Electricity Connections in the above said four storey building of the petitioners especially in the name of petitioner No. 3, built on khewat No. 1 min, khatauni No. 3 min, khasra No. 1909, measuring 00-02-10 hectares situated at mohal Bhagali, Patwar Circle Dhamun, Tehsil*

1 *Whether reporters of Local Papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

& Distt. Shimla (R), H.P., inasmuch as, access to portable water and electricity which are integral to Right to Life under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, being basic necessity for human being and essential components of human rights cannot be denied.

(ii) To direct the respondent No. 4 to install domestic water connections in the above said four storey building of the petitioner No. 3 built on khewat No. 1 min, khatauni No. 3 min, khasra No. 1909, measuring 00-02-10 hectares situated at mohal Bhagali, Patwar Circle Dhamun, Tehsil & Distt. Shimla (R), H.P., inasmuch as, access to portable water and electricity which are integral to Right to Life under Article 21 of the Constitution of India, being basic necessity for human being and essential components of human rights cannot be denied.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners stated that the petitioners would be satisfied in case the respondents are directed to consider and decide their representation within a time bound manner.

3. Learned counsel for respondents No. 1 to 3 as well as learned Additional Advocate General for the respondents are not averse to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners, being innocuous.

4. Therefore, in view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the petitioners to submit their representation before the concerned respondent

within a period of two weeks from today and thereafter, the concerned respondent is directed to decide the same within a period of next two weeks.

Pending application(s), if any, stands disposed of.

(Sushil Kukreja)
Judge

20th November, 2026
(raman)