IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 19013 of 2026 along with connected matters.
Decided on : 29th October, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 19013 of 2026.

Virender Singh **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 19015 of 2026.

Krishna Kumari **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 19037 of 2026.

Suman Lata **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr.. ....**Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 19038 of 2026.

Sunil Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

5. CWP No. 19039 of 2026.

Shivani Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 19040 of 2026.

Atul Thakur **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

7. CWP No. 19011 of 2026.

Priyanka Thakur **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

8. CWP No. 19042 of 2026.

Gajender Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. **....Respondents.**

9. CWP No. 19045 of 2026.

Satish Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ....**Respondents.**

10. CWP No. 19067 of 2026.

Dipti Thakur & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

11. CWP No. 19100 of 2026.

Anita Devi & Ors. **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

12. CWP No. 19068 of 2026.

Rakesh Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

13. CWP No. 19082 of 2026.

Anjana Kumari Kashyap **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

14. CWP No. 19069 of 2026.

Rakesh Kumar **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Anil Kumar, Advocate, Mr. Hemant Thakur, Advocate, Mr. Vidush Chauhan, Advocate, Mr. Devi Singh, Advocate, Mr. Diwan Singh Negi, Advocate, Mr. Abhishek Nagta, Advocate, Mr. Kunal Mehta, Advocate, Mr. Kush Sharma, Advocate and Mr. Pankaj Thakur, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L. N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L. N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. Petitioners seek applicability of **Ghanshyam Dass and Ors Vs. State of H.P. and Ors.**[2].

3. Learned Additional Advocate General has apprised that aforesaid decision has been stayed under order dated 17.08.2026 passed in LPA No. 205 of 2025 and other connected matters.

4. In view of above position, the relief in the nature claimed by the petitioners cannot be granted to them at this stage. These writ petitions, therefore, are disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners within six weeks after the adjudication of LPA No. 205 of 2025 and in terms thereof.

5. With the above observations, the writ petitions stand disposed of. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

29th October, 2026.
(jai)

[2]CWP No. 2056 of 2023 decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024