IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 19020 of 2026 along with connected matters.
Decided on : 29th October, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 19020 of 2026

Brij Lal **...Petitioners.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 19048 of 2026

Krishani Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 19061 of 2026

Vipin Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 19312 of 2026

Suresh Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

5. CWP No. 19313 of 2026

Rajender Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 19043 of 2026

Amba Dutt **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. **....Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Ankit Chandel, Advocate and Mr. Naresh Verma, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L. N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General for the respondents-State.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L. N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of respondents-State.

2. With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the matters are heard at this stage.

3. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***State of HP and others Versus Surajmani and Anr.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2] LPA No.165 of 2021, decided alongwith connected matters on 12.01.2023

prayer.

4. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

29th October, 2026.
(jai)