IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 18533/2026 a/w connected matters
Decided on: 26.10.2026

---

1. **CWP No. 18533/2026**

Khushi Ram & Anr. **…..Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr. **.....Respondents**

2. CWP No. 18633/2026

Rajesh Kumar **…..Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors. **.....Respondents**

3. **CWP No. 18632/2026**

Amrik Singh & Anr. **…..Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr. **.....Respondents**

4. CWP No. 18665/2026

Ram Sawroop …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

5. CWP No. 18666/2026

Sunita Devi …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

6. CWP No. 18607/2026

Maya Ram & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

7. CWP No. 18595/2026

Ajay Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

8. CWP No. 18580/2026

Ashish Sharma & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

9. CWP No. 18584/2026

Desh Raj …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

10. CWP No. 18573/2026

Manju Lata …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

11. CWP No. 18561/2026

Harsh Kumar …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

12. CWP No. 18544/2026

Suneel Kumar & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

13. CWP No. 18562/2026

Ved Prakash & Ors. …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

14. CWP No. 18578/2026

Vinod Sharma & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

15. CWP No. 18543/2026

Chander Shekhar Dogra & Ors. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

16. CWP No. 18541/2026

Reena Devi & Anr. …Petitioners

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ….Respondents

17. CWP No. 18551/2026

Babita Devi …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

18. CWP No. 18560/2026

Kismati Devi …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

19. CWP No. 18569/2026

Purnima Kumari …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

20. CWP No. 18547/2026

Kamlesh Kumari …Petitioner

Versus

State of H.P. & Anr. ….Respondents

Coram
Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s. Hemant Thakur, Pankaj Thakur, Tim Saran, Gambhir Singh Chauhan, Raj Thakur, Bhupinder Thakur, Surila Sangam, R.L. Chauhdary,Vaibhav Tanwar, Vivek Thakur, for the petitioners in the respective petitions.

For the Respondents: Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, for the respondents in the respective petitions.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Petitioners seek applicability of ***Ghanshyam Dass and Ors Vs. State of H.P. and Ors***[2].

2. Learned Additional Advocate General has apprised that the aforesaid decision has been stayed under order dated 17.08.2026 passed in *LPA No.205 of 2025 and other connected matters*.

3. In view of above position, the relief in the nature claimed by the petitioners cannot be granted to them at this stage. These writ petitions, therefore, are disposed of with direction to the respondents to consider the cases of the petitioners within six

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**
[2] CWP No. 2056 of 2023 decided alongwith connected matters on 21.03.2024

weeks after the adjudication of *LPA No.205 of 2025* and in terms thereof.

4. With the above observations, these writ petitions stand disposed of.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, also to stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

26th October, 2026
rohit