IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17343 of 2026 and connected matters

Decided on: 06.10.2026

---

1. CWP No. 17343 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No. 17344 of 2026

Ravi Kant Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No. 17345 of 2026

Dinesh Kumari **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No. 17346 of 2026

Rajender Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No. 17347 of 2026

Rakesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No. 17348 of 2026

Khushal Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

7. CWP No. 17349 of 2026

Hem Raj **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

8. CWP No. 17350 of 2026

Ravinder **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

9. CWP No. 17351 of 2026

Rajinder Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Daleep Singh Kaith, Advocate, in all the matters.

For the Respondents: Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Menka Raj Chauhan, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Dinesh Kumar & Ors. Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content, in case, respondents/competent authority are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations, in

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 1747 of 2023, decided on 09.10.2023

accordance with law, within a time bound manner. Learned Deputy Advocate General has no objection to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the above submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of by directing the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners, in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment, within a period of six weeks from today. The order so passed be also communicated to the petitioners.

Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 06, 2026
R.Atal