**IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA**

**CWP No.17426 of 2026 and connected matters**
**Decided on: 07.10.2026**

---

**1. CWP No.17426 of 2026**

Anupama **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and anr **.....Respondents**

---

**2. CWP No.17409 of 2026**

Dev Raj Singh and Anr **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**3. CWP No.17427 of 2026**

Sunil Dutt SWharma **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**4. CWP No.17529 of 2026**

Seema Devi **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**5. CWP No.17527 of 2026**

Sandeep Kumar **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**6. CWP No.17466 of 2026**

Madan Mohan **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**7. CWP No.17539 of 2026**

Ajay Sharma and Anr **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**8. CWP No.17549 of 2026**

Shashi Kant and Anr **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**9. CWP No.17461 of 2026**

Kamlesh Kumari **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

**10. CWP No.17468 of 2026**

Lalita and Anr **.....Petitioners**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

**11. CWP No.17472 of 2026**

Sonika Kumari **.....Petitioner**

**Versus**

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

**Coram**

**Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua**

**Whether approved for reporting?**[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. M.A.Safee, Mr. Rocky, Mr. Devender K Sharma and Mr. Ajay Kumar Chauhan, Advocates in the respective petitions.

For the Respondents: Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.

---

**Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge**

Notice. Ms. Seema Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

**2.** Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

**3.** Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 7, 2026
*R.Atal*