IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 18892 of 2026 and connected matters
Decided on: 27.10.2026

---

1. CWP No.18892 of 2026

Harender Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.18871 of 2026

Ravinder and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.18894 of 2026

Lalita Kumari and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.18865 of 2026

Paras Ram **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.18899 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No.18853 of 2026

Kiran Bala and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

7. CWP No.18780 of 2026

Talwinder Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

8. CWP No.18760 of 2026

Punita Kumari and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and anr **.....Respondents**

---

9. CWP No.18757 of 2026

Ranjana Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

10. CWP No.18858 of 2026

Girdhari Lal and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

11. CWP No.18751 of 2026

Kuljinder Singh and Anr **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

12 CWP No.18750 of 2026

Yamini Negi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s C.S.Thakur, Harish Kumar, Prashant Manhans, Bhupinder Thakur, Rajinder Thakur, Piyush Dhanotia, Vaibhav Tanwar, Vivek Thakur, Pawan K Sharma and R.L.Chaudhary, Advocates in the respective writ petitions.

For the Respondents: Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Seema Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 27, 2026
R.Atal