IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No. 17976 of 2026 and connected matters
Decided on: 12.10.2026

---

1. CWP No.17976 of 2026

Sudesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.17917 of 2026

Saroj Kumari **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.17919 of 2026

Damodhari Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.17923 of 2026

Rajesh Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.17924 of 2026

Surinder Kumar **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No.17965 of 2026

Devinder Singh and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

7. CWP No.17973 of 2026

Rajesh **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

8. CWP No.18025 of 2026

Bir Bahadur and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

9. CWP No.18033 of 2026

Kamal Kishor **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

10. CWP No.18036 of 2026

Prem Prakash **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

11. CWP No.17955 of 2026

Dinesh Kumar and Anr **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

12. CWP No.17948 of 2026

Satish Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

13. CWP No.17915 of 2026

Kushma Devi **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

14. CWP No.17828 of 2026

Ramesh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

15. CWP No.17829 of 2026

Sneh Lata **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

16. CWP No.17792 of 2026

Hans Raj and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

17. CWP No.17800 of 2026

Ajeet Pal Singh and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Anr **.....Respondents**

---

18. CWP No.17822 of 2026

Sweety Verma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

19. CWP No.17823 of 2026

Chander Shekhar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

20. CWP No.17802 of 2026

Arvind Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

21. CWP No.17805 of 2026

Vinod Kumar and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

22. CWP No.17853 of 2026

Desh Raj and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

23. CWP No.17856 of 2026

Ashwani Kumar and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

24. CWP No.17891 of 2026

Pankaj Sharma Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

25. CWP No.17897 of 2026

Rajender Singh and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

26. CWP No.17901 of 2026

Ajeshwar Singh **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

27. CWP No.17907 of 2026

Davinder Bhatia **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

28. CWP No.17908 of 2026

Sohan Singh and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s Yogesh K Chande, Mamta Garg, Diwan Singh Negi, Devender K Sharma, Kush Sharma, Surender K Sharma, Ajay Kumar Chauhan, Pawan K Sharma, Vaibhav Tanwar and Mr. Naveen K Negi, Advocates in the respective petitions.

For the Respondents: Mr. Y.P.S.Dhaulta and Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocates General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

---

[1]**Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

---

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 12, 2026
R.Atal