IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH SHIMLA

CWP No. 17097 of 2026 along with CWP Nos. 17095, 17123, 17171, 17172, 17173, 17176 and 17093 of 2026.
Decided on : 1st October, 2026

---

1. CWP No. 17097 of 2026.

Davinder Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

2. CWP No. 17095 of 2026.

Seema Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

3. CWP No. 17123 of 2026.

Kumari Archana **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

4. CWP No. 17171 of 2026.

Naresh Kumar **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

5. CWP No.17172 of 2026.

Sudesh Kumari **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

6. CWP No. 17173 of 2026.

Bandana Bansal **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

7. CWP No. 17176 of 2026.

Meena Devi **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

8. CWP No. 17093 of 2026

Alka Rani **...Petitioner.**

Versus

State of H.P. & Ors. ....**Respondents.**

Coram:

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: Mr. Mandeep Chandel, Advocate.

For the respondents: Mr. L.N. Sharma, Additional Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge.

Notice. Mr. L.N. Sharma, learned Additional Advocate General appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations (Annexure P-3 in all petitions), seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors.***[2] have not been decided till date by the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners

[1] Whether reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
[2]CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Additional Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matter, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above judgment within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioner.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

1st October, 2026.
(jai)