IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.17819 of 2026 and connected matters
Decided on: 09.10.2026

---

1. CWP No.17819 of 2026

Reena Sharma **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

2. CWP No.17830 of 2026

Harpreet Kaur **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

3. CWP No.17813 of 2026

Anu Priyasha **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

4. CWP No.17831 of 2026

Raman Kaisth **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

5. CWP No.17787 of 2026

Suman Lata and Ors **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

6. CWP No.17786 of 2026

Anil Kumar **.....Petitioner**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

---

7. CWP No.17793 of 2026

Kiran Sharma **.....Petitioners**

Versus

State of H.P. and Ors **.....Respondents**

Coram

Ms. Justice Jyotsna Rewal Dua

Whether approved for reporting?[1]

For the Petitioners: M/s Naresh Kaul, Naveen K Negi, Suneel Awasthi, and Tarun Sharma, Advocates in the respective petitions.

For the Respondents: Ms. Seema Sharma, Deputy Advocate General.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua, Judge

Notice. Ms. Seema Sharma, learned Deputy Advocate General, appears and waives service of notice on behalf of the respondents in all the writ petitions.

2. Petitioners' grievance is that their representations, annexed with the respective writ petitions, seeking applicability of ***Inder Singh Thakur & Ors Vs. State of H.P. & Ors***[2] have not been decided till date by

---

[1] **Whether reporters of print and electronic media may be allowed to see the order? Yes.**

[2] CWP No. 4830 of 2023, decided alongwith connected matter on 07.04.2025.

the respondents/competent authority. Learned counsel for the petitioners submitted that the petitioners would be content in case the respondents/competent authority(s) are directed to consider and decide the aforesaid representations in accordance with law within a fixed-time schedule. Learned Deputy Advocate General is not averse to this prayer.

3. Having regard to the afore-submissions, but without examining the merits of the matters, these writ petitions are disposed of with a direction to the respondents/competent authority to consider and decide the aforesaid representations of the petitioners in accordance with law as well as taking into consideration the above decision, within a period of six weeks from today. The decision so arrived at shall also be communicated to the petitioners.

The writ petitions stand disposed of in the above terms, so also the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

Jyotsna Rewal Dua
Judge

October 9, 2026
R.Atal