IN THE HIGH COURT OF HIMACHAL PRADESH, SHIMLA

CWP No.4940 of 2026 with

CWP Nos. 7227, 13664 and 13667 of 2026

Date of Decision: 28.10.2026

1. CWP No. 4940 of 2026

M/S Kuldeep Kumar Contractor .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

2. CWP No. 7227 of 2026

M/s S.G. Construction Company .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

3. CWP No13664 of 2026

M/s Buildsworth .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

4. CWP No. 13667 of 2026

M/s Buildsworth .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Himachal Pradesh & others … Respondents

Coram:
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Sandeep Sharma, Judge.
Whether approved for reporting? [1]

For the Petitioner(s): Mr. Vipul Sharda, Advocate.

For the Respondents: Mr. Anup Rattan, advocate General with Mr. Rajan Kahol, Additional Advocate General and Mr. Ravi Chauhan & Mr. Anish Banshtu,

[1] *Whether the reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?*

Deputy Advocates General, for the respondent-State.

Mr. Virender Verma, Advocate, for respondent No.3.

Sandeep Sharma, Judge(oral):

Since common question of facts and law are involved in all the above captioned cases, this Court, after having clubbed the same, heard them together and now are being disposed of vide common judgment.

2. Precisely, the grouse of the petitioners, as has been highlighted in the petitions and further canvassed by Mr. Vipul Sharda, learned counsel for the petitioners, is that despite the petitioners having executed substantial work, the bills have not been released, as a result thereof, petitioners are in financial distress.

3. Though, by way of filing reply in some of the petitions, aforesaid claim put forth on behalf of the petitioners has been refuted by respondent No. 3, but Mr. Virender Verma, learned counsel representing respondent No. 3, fairly states that in case some verified/ admitted amount has not been paid till date, petitioners may approach the office of respondent No. 3 by way of representation and same shall be considered and decided expeditiously.

4. In view of aforesaid submission made by learned counsel representing respondent No.3, learned counsel representing the

2026:HHC:47198

petitioners seeks permission to withdraw all the petitions, with liberty to file representation to respondent No. 3 for release of verified/ admitted amount, within a period of two weeks, which in turn shall be considered and decided by respondent No. 3 within a period of two months. Needless to say, authority concerned while doing the needful in terms of instant order, shall afford an opportunity of being heard to the petitioners and pass appropriate orders. Ordered accordingly. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.

(Sandeep Sharma),
Judge

October 28, 2026
(shankar)